THE FUTURE MUST REACH THE PEOPLE

Why Scientific Breakthroughs, Capital and Technology Mean Little Unless They Transform Everyday Life

By Dr Alpha Grace, PhD

We are becoming technologically powerful. But are we becoming humanly capable?

We are living through one of the most extraordinary periods of scientific and technological transformation in human history.

Artificial intelligence is changing how we process knowledge. Biotechnology is expanding the possibilities of diagnosis and treatment. Robotics is reshaping production. Advanced materials, renewable energy technologies and precision agriculture are opening possibilities that previous generations could scarcely imagine.

Research laboratories are pushing the boundaries of what humanity can discover. Investors are searching for the next breakthrough. Governments are announcing national innovation strategies. Universities are competing for patents, research funding and technological recognition. Yet there is a question that deserves far greater attention than it receives: **What happens to these extraordinary discoveries when they encounter the ordinary realities of human life?**

What happens when a mother needs medical attention but the nearest functioning health facility is hours away? When a farmer produces sufficient food but loses a substantial part of the harvest because storage, transport and market access remain inadequate? When a young graduate possesses knowledge and ambition but cannot find productive employment? When a teacher is expected to prepare children for a technological future in a classroom without dependable electricity, books or basic learning materials?

When governments invest in sophisticated digital systems but citizens continue to experience corruption, administrative delays and institutional indifference? And when societies become increasingly connected through technology but their members experience loneliness, mistrust, emotional exhaustion and social fragmentation?

These are not questions outside the technological revolution. They are among the most important questions it must answer.

A breakthrough is not yet a transformation

Scientific discovery is an achievement. Commercialisation is another. But neither automatically produces social progress. Between an invention and its meaningful application lies an entire world of obstacles: inadequate financing, weak institutions, regulatory uncertainty, unsuitable infrastructure, shortages of skilled workers, poor maintenance, market exclusion and the absence of long-term accountability.

A laboratory may produce an impressive medical diagnostic technology. But the discovery has little practical value for an underserved community unless it is clinically validated, affordable, appropriately regulated, available and supported by trained professionals.

An agricultural innovation may increase yields under controlled conditions. But its promise may disappear if farmers cannot afford the equipment, understand its instructions, repair it locally or reach paying customers. An educational technology may generate impressive demonstrations while doing little to strengthen children's literacy, reasoning, creativity or capacity for independent judgement.

This is why we must stop treating the movement from research to commercialisation as the final destination of innovation.

The real journey continues from commercialisation to accessibility, from accessibility to capability, and from capability to sustained human benefit.

Health: Technology must improve the patient experience, not simply modernise the hospital

Imagine a health system that can identify emerging disease patterns, improve referral pathways, reduce medicine shortages, support rural healthcare workers and maintain more reliable patient records. These are meaningful applications of innovation.

But sophisticated technologies cannot compensate indefinitely for poor clinical governance, medicine stockouts, inadequate sanitation, untrained personnel or the absence of continuity of care.

The appropriate question is not simply whether a hospital has adopted artificial intelligence. It is whether a woman receives timely antenatal care. Whether a patient with hypertension receives regular monitoring. Whether medical supplies reach the right facilities. Whether healthcare workers can make better-informed decisions. Whether preventable delays and errors are decreasing.

Health innovation must also recognise that human wellbeing extends beyond laboratory measurements and hospital encounters.

Nutrition, mental health, family conditions, environmental exposures, cultural experiences, sleep, physical activity and community relationships influence health outcomes.

This creates opportunities for genuinely interdisciplinary and evidence-informed approaches, including the systematic investigation of traditional and African medical knowledge. But meaningful integration requires careful documentation, quality standards, safety assessment, ethical research and appropriate professional boundaries.

The future of healthcare should not be a competition between technological sophistication and human understanding. It should be the intelligent coordination of both.

Leadership and governance : An intelligent system cannot substitute for responsible leadership

One of the greatest contradictions of our time is that governments can possess sophisticated information systems while continuing to make deeply inadequate decisions.

Technology may help identify procurement irregularities, improve budget transparency, strengthen civil registration or monitor public infrastructure. But technology cannot independently establish integrity. A digital procurement system operated without independent oversight can reproduce the same favouritism as a paper-based system.

An algorithmic public-service decision can become another instrument of exclusion if its criteria are biased or citizens have no effective means of appeal. Government effectiveness must therefore be judged not by the number of digital platforms acquired but by the public problems resolved.

Can citizens obtain essential documents without unnecessary payments or repeated journeys ? Can public funds be traced from approval to delivery ? Can institutions identify weaknesses and correct them before they become national emergencies ? Can leaders explain decisions, disclose conflicts of interest and accept scrutiny ?

The intelligence of a government is not measured by the technologies it purchases, but by the quality of decisions, institutions and public outcomes it produces.

Economy: A country must become more than a market for other people's inventions

Here lies one of the defining challenges for Africa and many developing economies.

A country can consume advanced technologies without acquiring meaningful technological capability. It can import software, machinery, medical equipment and agricultural systems while lacking the capacity to adapt, maintain, manufacture or improve them. It can attract investment while much of the resulting expertise, intellectual property, ownership and profit remains outside its borders.

Foreign investment can be valuable. International scientific collaboration is indispensable. Technology transfer can accelerate development. But participation in the global technological economy must involve more than purchasing and using finished products. The deeper economic questions concern capability and ownership.

Who is learning to design these systems? Who maintains them? Who manufactures their components? Who owns the intellectual property? Which local enterprises are becoming suppliers? What employment is generated? Where is the value retained, reinvested and multiplied?

Technological sovereignty does not require isolation or the impossible ambition of producing everything domestically. It requires sufficient national knowledge, bargaining power and institutional competence to choose partnerships intelligently and avoid preventable dependency.

The objective is not merely to bring technology into a country. It is to make the country more capable because the technology arrived.

Security: The greatest technological failure may be the inability to prevent avoidable harm

Security must be understood beyond weapons, policing and military capacity. It includes food security, public health, cybersecurity, environmental protection, infrastructure resilience, personal safety and the institutional ability to respond to emergencies.

Early-warning systems may improve preparedness for flooding or disease outbreaks. Secure communication systems may help coordinate emergency responses. Better data integration may support the identification of supply-chain vulnerabilities. Yet these technologies also create risks.

Surveillance systems can threaten civil liberties. Poorly secured databases can expose sensitive personal information. Automated decision-making can amplify discriminatory assumptions. Technological dependence can create strategic vulnerabilities. We must therefore distinguish between technologies that strengthen public protection and technologies that merely expand institutional power.

A secure society is not simply one in which the state can observe more. It is one in which people are better protected, institutions are more accountable and foreseeable harm is reduced.

Education: The real innovation is the ability to think

What is the purpose of introducing artificial intelligence into education if learners become less capable of independent reasoning? We should welcome tools that support language access, personalised learning, accessibility and teacher development. But education cannot become the mechanical delivery of generated answers. The fundamental purpose of education is to develop curiosity, judgement, imagination, practical competence, ethical awareness and the capacity to solve problems.

In Africa, this also requires confronting a neglected question: ***whose knowledge is considered legitimate?***

Scientific understanding must remain open to rigorous examination, regardless of whether its origins are African, European, Asian or elsewhere.

Indigenous knowledge should neither be dismissed because it is indigenous nor accepted without examination because it is ancestral. It should be documented, studied, appropriately tested and transmitted where its value can be established. The educational revolution we need must connect formal science, technical education, cultural knowledge, entrepreneurship and the lived experience of communities.

We should not merely prepare children to use tomorrow's technologies. We should prepare them to question, create, govern and improve those technologies.

Agriculture: Innovation must reach the farm, the market and the family table

A nation cannot claim meaningful economic advancement while remaining unable to organise reliable access to nutritious food.

Agricultural sufficiency requires more than improved seeds or mechanisation. It involves soil health, water management, biodiversity, crop protection, rural finance,

storage, processing, transport, nutrition, market organisation and fair economic opportunities for farmers.

Technological applications can support weather-informed decisions, irrigation, post-harvest preservation, inventory management and market information. But the farmer must remain central.

A technological intervention that increases production while leaving farmers indebted, dependent on unaffordable inputs or excluded from value chains cannot be considered an unqualified success.

Equally important is the protection and systematic study of locally adapted crops, traditional food-processing methods and accumulated agricultural knowledge.

For Sierra Leone, the important question is not simply whether new agricultural technologies can be imported. It is whether our farmers, agricultural scientists, cooperatives, young entrepreneurs and local enterprises can build reliable systems that nourish the population and generate lasting economic value.

Food sufficiency is not achieved when technology reaches the farm. It is achieved when productive capacity reaches the family table and becomes sustainable.

Relationships and wellbeing: Human progress must not become human disconnection

Perhaps the most neglected dimension of technological progress is what it does to the quality of human relationships. We have developed remarkable tools for communication, yet connection is not identical to companionship.

We have built platforms that distribute information instantly, yet information is not the same as understanding. We can automate interactions, but trust, empathy, responsibility and mutual respect cannot be reduced to automated outputs.

Digital technologies may support access to mental-health information, professional services, family communication and community networks. They may also intensify distraction, harassment, isolation, unhealthy comparison and the commercial exploitation of personal vulnerability.

The question is not whether technology is inherently beneficial or harmful. It is how its design, governance and use affect human behaviour and social life.

A meaningful vision of innovation should therefore include measurable attention to mental wellbeing, interpersonal trust, social inclusion and the capacity of individuals and communities to maintain healthy relationships.

We should reject the assumption that a more technologically connected society is necessarily a more socially healthy society.

Africa's intellectual responsibility: From technological consumption to knowledge production

Africa does not lack human intelligence. It possesses researchers, engineers, healthcare professionals, farmers, artisans, entrepreneurs, educators and communities with extensive bodies of practical knowledge. The difficulty is often the absence of functioning systems that connect knowledge with investment, institutional responsibility, production and implementation.

Talented individuals work in isolation. Research remains disconnected from enterprise. Skilled diaspora professionals encounter bureaucratic barriers. Universities produce qualifications without sufficient pathways into applied innovation. Valuable local expertise may go undocumented or unsupported. This is not exclusively a technological problem.

It is a problem of national organisation, leadership and priorities.

A country must know how to identify its existing capabilities, recognise where it lacks expertise, mobilise relevant knowledge, finance experimentation, evaluate results and retain what it learns. The challenge is not to romanticise self-reliance or reject international collaboration.

It is to move from passive participation in technological markets towards active participation in creating knowledge, institutions and economic value.

For Sierra Leone, and for Africa more broadly, this means treating national capability as a deliberate development objective rather than an accidental consequence of foreign investment.

We need a new social contract for innovation

Scientists must be encouraged to pursue knowledge whose value may not be immediately commercial. Entrepreneurs must be supported in translating discoveries into useful products and services.

Investors need credible regulations, transparent institutions, realistic risk assessments and business models capable of sustaining long development timelines.

Governments must strengthen public infrastructure, education, research capacity, competition and fair procurement.

Communities must participate in defining the problems that innovation is expected to solve. And citizens must have meaningful protection against technologies that compromise their safety, dignity, autonomy or rights. Above all, success must be evaluated in terms of consequences.

Not how many platforms were launched, but how many public services improved. Not how many agricultural devices were distributed, but how farmers' incomes, food losses and resilience changed. Not how many educational applications were purchased, but whether children learned more effectively.

Not how much investment entered an economy, but what productive capability, employment and value remained. This is the shift from technological celebration to developmental responsibility.

Conclusion: The future is not what we invent. It is what we enable people to become.

We must stop discussing the future as though it belongs exclusively to scientists, technology companies, investors and powerful governments.

The future also belongs to the patient waiting for treatment, the farmer protecting a harvest, the teacher developing young minds, the entrepreneur seeking opportunity and the family trying to build a secure life.

The true frontier of innovation is therefore not located only inside a laboratory, a research institute or a financial market.

It is located at the point where human knowledge meets human necessity—and produces lasting improvement.

A generation from now, the most important question will not be how many remarkable technologies humanity developed. It will be whether those technologies helped us build societies that are healthier, safer, wiser, more productive, more just and more capable of determining their own futures.

Because a future that reaches the marketplace but never reaches the people is not the transformation humanity needs.

Dr Alpha Grace, PhD
Researcher | Social Criminologist | African Naturopathic Medicine | Institutional Development & Human Capability